SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE BLYTHE 265 N. Broadway, Blythe, CA 92225 INDIO 46-200 Oasis St., Indio, CA 92201 HEMET 880 N. State St., Hemet, CA 92543 RIVERSIDE 4175 Main St., Riverside, CA 92501 RI-FL020 ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY (Name, State Bar Number and Address) FOR COURT USE ONLY TELEPHONE NO.: FAX NO. (Optional): E-MAIL ADDRESS (Optional): ATTORNEY FOR (Name): PETITIONER: CASE NUMBER: RESPONDENT: Hearing Date: Time: Department: JOINT STATEMENT OF DISPUTED AND UNDISPUTED FACTS (SPOUSAL SUPPORT) MARITAL STANDARD OF LIVING. UNDISPUTED. The parties agree that the marital standard of living was: ☐ DISPUTED. Petitioner describes the marital standard of living as: Respondent describes the marital standard of living as: WHETHER THE EARNING CAPACITY OF EACH PARTY IS SUFFICIENT TO MAINTAIN THE MARITAL STANDARD OF LIVING. UNDISPUTED. The parties agree that the earning of each party is sufficient to maintain the marital standard of living. DISPUTED. Petitioner describes petitioner's earning capacity as \$ _____ for the following reasons: Petitioner describes respondent's earning capacity as \$ for the following reasons: Respondent describes petitioner's earning capacity as \$_____ for the following reasons:

Page 1 of 10

PET	TITIONER:	CASE NUMBER:	
RES	SPONDENT:		
INLO	·	the fellowing	
	Respondent describes respondent's earning capacity as \$ for	the following reasons:	
(A)	(1) THE MARKETABLE SKILLS OF THE SUPPORTED PARTY; THE JOB MAI TRAINING/RETRAINING.	RKET; THE NEED FOR	
	UNDISPUTED.		
	The parties agree that the supported party's marketable skills are:		
	The parties agree that the market for those skills is:		
	The parties agree that the supported party requires training/retraining as:		
	DISPUTED.		
	The Petitioner describes the supported party's marketable skills as:		
	The Petitioner describes the market for those skills as:		
	The Petitioner describes the supported party need for training/retraining as:		
	The Respondent describes the supported party's marketable skills as:		
	The Respondent describes the market for those skills as:		
	The Respondent describes the supported party need for training/retraining as:		
(A)	(2) IMPAIRMENT OF EARNING CAPACITY BECAUSE OF DOMESTIC DUTIES UNDISPUTED.	i.	
	The parties agree that the supported party's earning capacity is impaired because	e:	
	The parties agree that the supported party's earning capacity is not impaired bed domestic duties.	cause of the time devoted to	
	DISPUTED		
	The Petitioner claims that supported party's earning capacity is impaired becaus	e:	
	The Petitioner claims that supported party's earning capacity is not impaired bed duties.	ause of time devoted to domestic	
	The Respondent claims that supported party's earning capacity is impaired beca	use:	
	The Respondent claims that supported party's earning capacity is not impaired butties.	pecause of time devoted to domestic	

PETITIONER:		CASE NUMBER:	
RESI	PONDENT:		
(B)		PART'YS EDUCATION, TRAINING,	
	The parties agree that the supported party contributed to the supporting party's education, training, career position, or license by:		
	The parties agree that the supported party did not contribute to the suppoposition, or license.	orting party's education, training, career	
	The Petitioner claims that the supported party contributed to the supportir position, or license by:		
	The Petitioner claims that the supported party did not contribute to the supposition, or license.	pporting party's education, training, career	
	The Respondent claims that the supported party contributed to the suppoposition, or license by:		
	The Respondent claims that the supported party did not contribute to the career position, or license.	supporting party's education, training,	
(C)	THE SUPPORTING PARTY'S ABILITY TO PAY SUPPORT, CONSIDERING EARNING CAPACITY, EARNED/UNEARNED INCOME, ASSETS, AND STANDARD OF LIVING.		
	UNDISPUTED.		
	The supporting party's earning capacity was addressed above.		
	The parties agree supporting party's unearned income is:		
-	The parties agree supporting party's assets are:		
Ē	The parties agree supporting party's current standard of living is:		
	DISPUTED.		
	The supporting party's earning capacity was addressed above.		
	The Petitioner claims supporting party's unearned income is:		
-	The Petitioner claims supporting party's assets are:		
-	The Petitioner claims supporting party's current standard of living is:		
-	The Respondent claims supporting party's unearned income is:		
-	The Respondent claims supporting party's assets are:		

PETI	TIONER:	CASE NUMBER:	
RES	PONDENT:		
	The Respondent claims supporting party's current standard of living is:		
(D)	THE NEEDS OF EACH PARTY BASED ON THE STANDARD OF LIVE	/ING ESTABLISHED DURING THE	
	UNDISPUTED.		
	The parties agree supporting party's needs, based on the standard of living, are:		
	The parties agree supported party's needs, based on the standard of liv	ing, are:	
	DISPUTED.		
	The Petitioner claims supporting party's needs, based on the standard of living, are:		
	The Petitioner claims supported party's needs, based on the standard of living, are:		
	The Respondent claims supporting party's needs, based on the standard of living, are:		
	The Respondent claims supported party's needs, based on the standard	d of living, are:	
(E)	THE OBLIGATIONS AND ASSETS, INCLUDING THE SEPARATE P UNDISPUTED.	ROPERTY, OF EACH PARTY.	
	The supporting party's assets are addressed above.		
	The parties agree supporting party's obligations are:		
	The parties agree supported party's assets are:		
	The parties agree supported party's assets are.		
	The parties agree supported party's obligations are:		
	DISPUTED.		
	The Petitioner claims supporting party's obligations are:		

PETI	ETITIONER: CAS	SE NUMBER:
RES	ESPONDENT:	
	The Petitioner claims supported party's assets are:	
	The Petitioner claims supported party's obligations are:	
	The Respondent claims supporting party's obligations are:	
	The Respondent claims supported party's assets are:	
	The Respondent claims supported party's obligations are:	
(F)	THE DURATION OF THE MARRIAGE.	
	UNDISPUTED.	
	The parties were married for years and months.	
_	The Petitioner claims parties were married for years and	months
· • ·	The Respondent claims parties were married for years and	-
G)	G) THE SUPPORTED PARTY'S ABILITY TO WORK WITHOUT DULY INTER DEPENDENT CHILDREN.	RERING WITH THE INTERESTS OF
	UNDISPUTED.	
	The parties agree that the supporting party can work without unduly interferin children in her/his custody.	ng with the interests of the dependent
	The parties agree that the supporting party cannot work without unduly interfer children in her/his custody because:	ering with the interests of the dependent
	·	
\neg	DISPUTED.	
_		caring with the interests of the dependent
_	The Petitioner claims that the supporting party can work without unduly interformal children in her/his custody.	
	The Petitioner claims that the supporting party cannot work without unduly int dependent children in her/his custody because:	-

PETI	TITIONER: C	CASE NUMBER:	
RES	SPONDENT:		
	The Respondent claims that the supporting party can work without unduly interfering with the interests of the depend children in her/his custody.		
	The Respondent claims that the supporting party cannot work without undudependent children in her/his custody because:	uly interfering with the interests of the	
	-		
(H)) THE AGE AND HEALTH OF THE PARTIES.		
	UNDISPUTED.		
	The parties agree supported party is years of age and is (description of health):		
	The parties agree supporting party is years of age and is (desc	cription of health):	
	DISPUTED.		
	The Petitioner claims supported party is years of age and is (desc	cription of health):	
	-		
	The Dell's and all the control of th	e de de la contra e de la contra e	
	The Petitioner claims supporting party is years of age and is (desc	cription of nealtn):	
	The Respondent claims supported party is years of age and is (de	escription of health):	
-			
	The Respondent claims supporting party is years of age and is (c	description of health):	
(I) DOCUMENTED EVIDENCE OF ANY HISTORY OF DOMESTIC VIOLENCE, AS DEFINED IN SECTION 62 UNDISPUTED.		NCE, AS DEFINED IN SECTION 6211.	
The parties agree there is no documented history of domestic violence between the parties.			
	The parties agree there is a documented history of domestic violence between the parties (description of domestic violence):		
П	DISPUTED.		
	The Petitioner claims there is no documented history of domestic violence	between the parties.	
	The Petitioner claims there is a documented history of domestic violence b	·	
	violence):		
П	The Respondent claims there is no documented history of domestic violence	ce between the parties.	

PET	ITIONER: CASE NUMBER:		
RES	PONDENT:		
	The Respondent claims there is a documented history of domestic violence between the parties (description of domestic violence):		
(J)	THE IMMEDIATE AND SPECIFIC TAX CONSEQUENCES TO EACH PARTY. UNDISPUTED.		
ш			
	The parties agree that the immediate and specific tax consequences to supported party are:		
	The parties agree that the immediate and specific tax consequences to supporting party are:		
	DISPUTED.		
	The Petitioner claims that the immediate and specific tax consequences to supported party are:		
	The Petitioner claims that the immediate and specific tax consequences to supporting party are:		
	The Respondent claims that the immediate and specific tax consequences to supported party are:		
- -			
	The Respondent claims that the immediate and specific tax consequences to supporting party are:		
(K)	THE BALANCE OF THE HARDSHIPS TO EACH PARTY.		
(··,	UNDISPUTED.		
	The parties agree that the balance of hardships is described as:		
	DISPUTED.		
	The Petitioner claims that the balance of the hardships is described as:		

PETI	TITIONER: CASE NUMBER:	
RES	SPONDENT:	
	The Respondent claims that the balance of the hardships is described as:	
(L)	THE GOAL THAT THE SUPPORTED PARTY SHALL BE SELF- SUPPORTING WITHIN A REASONABLE PERIOF TIME (FAM. CODE § 4336).	OD
	SHORT-TERM MARRIAGE	
	UNDISPUTED	
	Because this is a short-term marriage, the parties agree that a reasonable time period to become self-supporting is one-half the length of the marriage: (duration).	
	The parties agree that the presumption has not been overcome.	
\Box	The parties agree that the presumption has been overcome because:	
	and finds that a reasonable period of time to become self-supporting is: (duration or reservation of jurisdiction).	
	DISPUTED.	
	Because this is a short-term marriage, the Petitioner claims that a reasonable time period to become self-supporting one-half the length of the marriage: (duration).	is
	The Petitioner claims that the presumption has not been overcome.	
	The Petitioner claims that the presumption has been overcome because:	
_		
	and finds that a reasonable period of time to become self-supporting is: (duration or reservation of jurisdiction).	
	Because this is a short-term marriage, the Respondent claims that a reasonable time period to become self-supporti is one-half the length of the marriage: (duration).	ing
	The Respondent claims that the presumption has not been overcome.	
	The Respondent claims that the presumption has been overcome because:	
	and finds that a reasonable period of time to become self-supporting is: (duration or reservation of jurisdiction).	
	LONG-TERM MARRIAGE	
	UNDISPUTED.	
	Because this is a long-term marriage, the parties agree that there is no presumptive time period to become self-supporting.	
	The parties agree that a reasonable period of time to become self-supporting is: (duration or reservation jurisdiction).	า of
	DISPUTED	
	Because this is a long-term marriage, the Petitioner claims that there is no presumptive time period to become self-supporting.	
	The Petitioner claims that a reasonable period of time to become self-supporting is: (duration or reservation of jurisdiction).	
	Because this is a long-term marriage, the Respondent claims that there is no presumptive time period to become se supporting.	lf-

PETI [*]	TIONER:	CASE NUMBER:	
RESF	PONDENT:		
	The Respondent claims that a reasonable period of time to become self reservation of jurisdiction).	-supporting is: (duration or	
(M)	THE CRIMINAL CONVICTION OF AN ABUSIVE SPOUSE (FAM. CO	DE §§ 4324.5, 4325)	
П	UNDISPUTED		
$\overline{\Box}$	The parties agree that supported spouse does not have a criminal convi	ction for domestic violence	
Ш	The parties agree that supported spouse has criminal conviction[s] for domestic violence (description of charges):		
-			
-			
	DISPUTED		
$\overline{}$	The Petitioner claims that supported spouse does not have a criminal co	projetion for domestic violence	
Ш	The Petitioner claims that supported spouse has criminal convictances):		
-			
-			
	The Respondent claims that supported spouse does not have a criminal	conviction for domestic violence	
Ш	The Respondent claims that supported spouse has criminal cor charges):		
-			
/NI\	ANY OTHER EACTORS THE COURT DETERMINES ARE HIST AND	SECULTARIE	
(N) ANY OTHER FACTORS THE COURT DETERMINES ARE JUST AND EQUITABLE.		DEQUITABLE.	
Ш	UNDISPUTED.		
	The parties agree that the court should consider the following factors:		
-			
-			
	DISPUTED.		
Ш			
	The Petitioner claims that the court should consider the following factors:		
-			
-	The Respondent claims that the court should consider the following factors:		
The respondent claims that the court should consider the following factors.			

I (we) declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

PETHONER:	CASE NUMBER:
RESPONDENT:	
Date:	
(TYPE OR PRINT NAME OF PETITIONER OR PETITIONER'S ATTORNEY)	(SIGNATURE)
Date:	
(TYPE OR PRINT NAME OF RESPONDENT OR RESPONDENT'S ATTORNEY)	(SIGNATURE)